



Postdoctoral Fellowship in Forensic Psychology

Program Handbook (2022 – 2023)

Application Deadline: January 14, 2022

Fellowship Directors:

Bob Stinson, Psy.D., J.D., LICDC-CS, ABPP

Board-Certified in Forensic Psychology

Attorney at Law

Licensed Independent Chemical Dependency Counselor - Clinical Supervisor

Stinson@ForumOhio.com

John Tilley, Psy.D., MSCP, ABPP

Board-Certified in Forensic Psychology

Post-Doctorate Master of Science in Clinical Psychopharmacology

Tilley@ForumOhio.com

ForumOhio.com



TRAINING GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The Forum Ohio, LLC, Postdoctoral Fellowship in Forensic Psychology is a one-year, full-time (2,000 hour) training program intended to prepare Postdoctoral Psychology Fellows for careers in the public and private sectors of forensic psychology. Our aim is to provide training consistent with a standard of excellence--beyond competence and specialty-level practice. The Fellowship is designed to prepare Fellows to become board-certified in Forensic Psychology through the American Board of Professional Psychology (ABPP). Our training goals and objectives adhere to the [guidelines](#) identified for postdoctoral fellowships provided by the American Board of Forensic Psychology (ABFP). The Forum Ohio Postdoctoral Fellowship in Forensic Psychology has been granted the 5-year forensic psychology waiver by ABFP, meaning Fellows are immediately eligible to apply for board-certification in forensic psychology upon completion of the fellowship and need not complete the 5-year experience requirement.

Our aim is to produce top-quality forensic psychologists who can perform a variety of forensic mental health assessments in numerous settings. We emphasize breadth and depth of training by fostering expertise in those types of evaluations most common in the field (e.g., competence to stand trial, criminal responsibility) while providing assessment experience in a variety of settings and numerous subspecialties within forensic psychology. Forum Ohio, LLC, receives private, contract, and court-ordered referrals through multiple facilities and court systems, including psychiatric hospitals, probate court, juvenile court, municipal court, state-wide common pleas court systems, the Ohio Supreme Court, and federal courts. We conduct evaluations for civil and criminal legal questions. Evaluations are conducted for juveniles and adults in inpatient and outpatient settings. The diversity of our practice is reflected in the nine forensic psychologists we have on staff, all of whom have various specialties and perform many types of evaluations in multiple settings. All of these various experiences are options in the Fellowship and training is individualized to the unique interests and career goals of the Fellow.

Core Competencies

The Fellowship emphasizes several training objectives, many of which are inspired by the [Core Competencies of Forensic Psychology](#) identified by the ABFP. The Forum Ohio fellowship especially emphasizes the following core competencies:

1. Forensic Ethical Principles and Legal Standards
2. Foundational Knowledge in Forensic Psychology and Mental Health Law
3. Applied Clinical Forensic Skills
4. Specialty Areas of Forensic Mental Health Assessment
5. Multicultural Diversity in Psycholegal Contexts
6. Communication and Consultation
7. Teaching and Supervision in Forensic Psychology
8. Interdisciplinary Professionalism

FELLOWSHIP CURRICULUM AND TRAINING ACTIVITIES

The Fellowship accomplishes the above training goals through various training activities, which are described below.

Applied Clinical Forensic Experiences

Forum Ohio provides evidenced-based psychological / behavioral health assessments, treatment, and consultative services to individuals and governmental and non-governmental agencies through fee-for-service contractual arrangements, workforce service agreements, and participation in preferred provider third-party panels. In the past 8 years, Forum Ohio (in conjunction with its subsidiaries) has completed about 2,000 evaluations per year. Those evaluations have been comprehensive and forensic in nature, requiring multiple evaluative methods, such as extensive record review, interviewing collateral contacts, clinical observations, and psychological testing.

Evaluations

Fellows will spend at least 50% of their time (i.e., a minimum of 1,000 hours) providing direct professional forensic psychological services, including forensic mental health assessments and consultation. Fellows may have the opportunity to perform the following types of evaluations (with juveniles and/or adults):

- *Competency*
 - To stand trial
 - To waive Miranda Rights
 - Guardianship
- *Criminal responsibility (not guilty by reason of insanity; NGRI)*
- *Disability*
 - Social Security disability determination
 - Fitness for duty
 - Worker's compensation
 - Board of Bar examinations (requests for accommodations)
- *Risk Assessments*
 - Criminal commitment after finding of NGRI
 - Criminal commitment after Incompetent to Stand Trial - Unrestorable
 - Increase in movement in psychiatric hospital settings
 - Conditional release from psychiatric hospital settings
 - Violence risk
 - Sexual offender risk
 - School threat
 - Workplace threat
 - Civil commitment
- *Treatment amenability*
 - Transfer to adult court (juvenile bind-over)
 - Return to juvenile court (juvenile reverse bind-over)
 - Progress in competency attainment (juveniles)
 - Progress in competency restoration (adults)
 - Diversion program appropriateness (juveniles)

- Treatment in lieu of conviction (adults)
- Comprehensive, court-ordered psychological evaluations to determine diagnosis and treatment needs

The specific types of evaluations completed and the number of each will be jointly agreed upon by the Fellow and the Fellow's supervisor, based on the training goals for that particular Fellow.

Referrals and Clients

Forum Ohio receives referrals from nearly two dozen sources, including various courts and other governmental agencies, private employers, and law firms. The following is an abbreviated list of Forum Ohio's current clients:

- *Champaign County Domestic Relations-Juvenile-Probate Court.* Services provided since 2006. Services include psychological evaluations, including juvenile competency to stand trial evaluations and comprehensive evaluations for diagnosis and treatment planning.
- *Compassionate Beginnings, LLC.* Services provided since 2007. These services have included consultative services regarding women applying for ovum donation.
- *ComPsych Corporation.* Services provided since 2011 and include fitness for duty (FFD) evaluations and threat assessments.
- *Delaware County Juvenile Court.* Services provided under contract since 2018. Services include providing competence attainment to juveniles adjudicated incompetent to stand trial. Additional services offered to the Court include juvenile competency evaluations, psychological, and bindover evaluations.
- *Delaware County Municipal Court.* Services provided under contract since 2019. Evaluations routinely include assessment of competence to stand trial, mental state at the time of the offense(s) charged (NGRI) evaluations, current mental status, risk assessment, diagnostic assessment with treatment recommendations, and treatment in lieu of conviction
- *Division of Disability Determination (DDD) / Opportunities for Ohioans With Disabilities (OOD).* Services provided since 2013 and have included Social Security Administration disability determination evaluations.
- *Fairfield County Adult Protective Services.* Services provided since 2013 and have included evaluations to assist in making a determination of competence and guardianship.
- *Family Source Consultants.* Services provided since 2016 and include psychological evaluations for ovum donation and gestational carriers.

- *Franklin County Children Services.* Services provided since 2015. Services include comprehensive psychological evaluations on children and adults upon referral from Children Services. Evaluations routinely involve comprehensive clinical interviews with children, adults, and collateral contacts (e.g., biological parents, foster parents, caseworkers); administration of various psychological measures of intelligence, academic functioning, personality, psychopathology, behavior, adaptive functioning, and emotional functioning; and child-parent observations. Additional sub-contracted services provided under this contract include juvenile sex offender evaluations and psychological evaluations to determine intellectual disabilities and autism spectrum disorder diagnoses for the Franklin County Board of Developmental Disabilities.
- *Franklin County Juvenile Court.* Services provided under contract since 2014. Evaluations routinely include assessment for the following:
 - Competence to stand trial
 - Diagnostic clarification and treatment needs
 - Return to juvenile court (reverse bind-over)
 - Progress in competence attainment
 - Psychological functioning
 - Transfer to adult court (bind-over)

Evaluations routinely include the administration of various psychological tests and other instruments, including standardized measurements of intellectual functioning, academic achievement, adaptive functioning, personality, and symptomatology. Services also include providing competence attainment to juveniles adjudicated incompetent to stand trial. Services are provided to juveniles charged with both felonies as well as misdemeanor offenses. Through a consultative relationship between Forum Ohio and the Court, a program was created, implemented, and remains in use that systematically screens juveniles charged with misdemeanor offenses for mental health problems, substance use problems, and/or criminogenic risk with accompanying recommendations for treatment. In all, Forum Ohio holds three contracts with the Franklin County Juvenile Court.

- *Franklin County Municipal Court.* Services provided since March 2009. Evaluations routinely include assessment of competence to stand trial, mental state at the time of the offense(s) charged (NGRI) evaluations, current mental status, risk assessment, diagnostic assessment with treatment recommendations, and treatment in lieu of conviction.
- *Mansfield Municipal Court.* Services provided since 2013 and have included forensic evaluations (e.g., competence to stand trial evaluations and NGRI defense evaluations).

- *Ohio Industrial Commission.* This contract started in 2019 and includes independent medical examinations and file reviews of individuals who have filed for disability with the Bureau of Workers' Compensation.
- *Permanent Family Solutions Network.* Services provided since 2017. Services include comprehensive psychological evaluations on children and adults upon referral from The Buckeye Ranch's Permanent Family Solutions Network. Evaluations routinely involve comprehensive clinical interviews with children, adults, and collateral contacts (e.g., biological parents, foster parents, caseworkers); administration of various psychological measures of intelligence, academic functioning, personality, psychopathology, behavior, adaptive functioning, and emotional functioning; and child-parent observations.
- *Pickaway County Adult Protective Services.* Services provided since 2021 and include evaluations to offer opinions concerning competency and guardianship.
- *R3 Continuum.* Services provided since 2012 and have included fitness for duty evaluations (FFD), FFD with a threat assessment, independent psychological examinations, and disability claims reviews for various insurance companies.
- *Supreme Court of Ohio.* Services provided since 2018 and have included a review of documentation in order to prepare a written report assessing potential disabilities of applicants and recommendations for testing accommodations for individuals applying to take the Ohio Bar Examination.
- *Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare/Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services.* Services provided since 2002. Twin Valley is a Regional Psychiatric Hospital and is part of the Ohio Department of Mental Health and Addiction Services (OhioMHAS). These services have included evaluations for competence to stand trial, mental condition at the time of the alleged offense(s) (NGRI), risk assessment, involuntary commitment, increased need for movement, and conditional release.
- *Upper Arlington City Schools.* Services provided since 2017 include student psychological evaluations that focus on risk/threat assessment and educational concerns.

Tests

In addition to the above types of evaluations and settings, Fellows will have access to approximately 130 psychological tests that are used in forensic evaluations. Fellows will be expected to become proficient in one or more tests from each of the following categories:

- *Adjudicative Competence*
 - CAST*MR
 - ECST-R
 - JACI
 - Mac-CAT-CA
- *Personality Traits and Psychopathology*
 - Jesness
 - MCMI-IV
 - MMPI - 3 / MMPI-2-RF / MMPI-A-RF / MMPI-2
 - PAI / PAI-A
 - PCL-R
- *Sex Offender Risk Assessment*
 - J-SOAP-II
 - MnSOST-R
 - SORAG
 - Static-99 / 99R / 2002 / 2002R
 - MSI II
- *Performance / Symptom Validity*
 - ILK
 - SIMS
 - SIRS / SIRS-2
 - TOMM
 - VIP
- *Violence Risk Assessment*
 - HCR-20-V3
 - PCL-R
 - SAVRY
 - VRAG

Additionally, Forum Ohio's test library consists of numerous tests of intellectual and cognitive functioning; language, development, and adaptive functioning; academic achievement; behavior, emotional functioning, and attachment; autism; substance abuse; and trauma.

Forensic Psychology Didactic and Landmark Case Seminar

In addition to practical training in forensic mental health assessment, the Fellowship offers weekly seminars. These are divided into two sessions across one afternoon each week. The first half of the afternoon is dedicated to a critical review of foundational theoretical readings and empirical studies in the field of forensic psychology. Topics cover the 21 content areas in forensic psychology identified in the [ABFP Suggested Reading List for Written and Oral Examinations](#). These didactics will be facilitated by psychologists in the practice (who occasionally may be accompanied by guest speakers) with expertise in the subject area.

The second half of the afternoon will focus on a review and critical discussion of landmark mental health law cases (also listed in the ABFP [reading list](#)). Fellows will be assigned readings and be responsible for preparing case law briefs each week. It is our hope that these case briefs will be the basis of a study guide for the Fellow in preparation for the ABFP Written Examination and eventually the ABFP Oral Examination. The Landmark Case Seminar will be facilitated by the directors of the fellowship and/or selected others with identified expertise in the area, who will discuss cases and review Fellows' case briefs from the perspective of forensic psychologists and legal professionals. All reading materials and cases will be provided to Fellows at no cost for educational purposes. Additionally, Fellows will have access to an extensive library of seminal forensic psychology texts. Please see the Appendix at the end of this Handbook for the tentative didactics and seminar schedule for the upcoming Fellowship year.

Trainings and Workshops

In addition to the weekly didactics and seminars, Fellows will have access to free Continuing Education forensic trainings (e.g., Grand Rounds at Twin Valley Behavioral Health and The Ohio State University), as well as paid trainings (e.g., Annual Forensic Conference) in the state of Ohio. If desired, Fellows may attend national trainings and workshops, such as those offered by AAFP, the American Psychology-Law Society (AP-LS), and the American Psychological Association (APA). Fellows are offered an education stipend and administrative leave days to assist with the time and cost of these opportunities (see "Stipend and Benefits" below).

Expert Testimony

Fellows will have the opportunity to observe and participate in expert testimony for various types of evaluations in many types of courts, including juvenile court, probate court, municipal court, and common pleas court. Additionally, the Fellowship will conduct two Mock Trials, the first of which occurs mid-year and the other at the end of the fellowship year. The mid-year Mock Trial will be facilitated by the fellowship directors. The year-end Mock Trial will take place in an actual courtroom in front of a sitting judge and will be facilitated by practicing attorneys, pending permission and availability from the Court and the attorneys. Following the exercises, the Fellow will receive feedback on multiple criteria, including: adequate preparation and knowledge of the case, professional demeanor, quality of testimony (e.g., clarity and accuracy), non-verbal mannerisms, and personal reactions to stress. These domains will also be addressed in actual expert testimony

performed by Fellows, which will always be observed by the case supervisor. In both circumstances, mock and real testimony, an emphasis will be placed on the Fellow's presentation style as much as their familiarity with the case.

Teaching and Supervision

The Fellowship recognizes the importance of disseminating information and providing high-quality supervision in the area of forensic psychology. As such, various teaching opportunities will be made available to Fellows who desire this experience. This could include giving a case presentation or research talk to the practice, presenting for a professional psychology or lawyer association, or being an adjunct instructor (or guest lecturer) for a Mental Health Law course offered through The Ohio State University (OSU) Moritz College of Law or a Forensic Psychology course offered through Capital University. In addition to the Fellowship, the practice provides training and supervision to undergraduate volunteers and graduate-level practicum students. These trainees perform various functions for the practice, such as conducting psychosocial interviews, administering psychological testing, and drafting portions of forensic reports. Fellows are encouraged to pursue experience in the provision of supervision with trainees in various stages of their forensic training.

SUPERVISION AND EVALUATION

The Fellowship is dedicated to preparing Fellows for the realities and expectations of independent forensic practice. Training emphasizes increased autonomy over the fellowship year. Supervision will initially focus on developing the Fellow's ability to complete high-quality forensic evaluations and write high-quality reports and gradually transition to an emphasis on enhancing autonomy and writing efficiency. By the end of the fellowship year, Fellows will feel prepared to independently complete forensic evaluations and produce excellent reports at a rate that is expected for full-time evaluators in the public or private sector.

A *minimum* of two hours of face-to-face supervision is provided to Fellows per week. Additionally, on-site supervision is provided in a manner consistent with the comfort, experience, and training needs of each Fellow. All supervision is provided by a licensed psychologist affiliated with Forum Ohio, LLC, who has extensive expertise in the type of evaluation being conducted. Group supervision will occur in a number of ways: it will be woven into forensic didactics and case law seminars, which will integrate case presentations and consultation into the topic being taught, as well as during weekly group supervision meetings that will involve the Fellows and supervisors.

Each Fellow will undergo a formal written evaluation every quarter in order to ensure the Fellowship is meeting its training goals and maximizing the unique training experience for each Fellow. Quarterly Reviews are conducted by the Fellow's immediate supervisor and reviewed by Drs. Stinson and Tilley. Our review process is empirically informed by recent research on forensic assessment supervision practices in the field. Evaluations identify the strengths and limitations

of the Fellow's progress across several domains (e.g., applied clinical forensic skills, forensic conceptualization, professional development).

Our Fellowship recognizes the so-called "allegiance effect" (i.e., an implicit evaluator bias to favor the opinion of the retaining party) as a particularly relevant factor for pursuing private practice forensic work. Fellows will be provided a Case Tracker (designed by the practice), which they can use to log their forensic opinions and will augment supervision and inform Quarterly Reviews. Our hope is that this practice will serve as a foundational skill that Fellows will carry forward into their careers.

FELLOWSHIP BENEFITS AND APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

Stipend and Benefits

The Fellowship offers a full-time annual stipend of \$45,000. Additionally, Fellows receive up to 15 days of paid days off, including five Paid Time Off (PTO) days, five federal holidays (Thanksgiving, Christmas, New Years Day, Memorial Day, and Independence Day), and five administrative leave days, with approval. The Fellowship does not provide health insurance benefits at this time. Fellows are also provided a \$1,000 education stipend that can be applied towards various forensic activities (e.g., conference registration fees).

We anticipate accepting two to three Fellows for the upcoming fellowship year.

Application Requirements

To be eligible for the Fellowship, all applicants must satisfy the minimum qualifications and submit all application materials.

Minimum Qualifications (by Fellowship start date)

- Psy.D. or Ph.D. in clinical or counseling psychology from APA/CPA accredited institution.
- Completed an APA/CPA accredited pre-doctoral internship.
- Forum Ohio is an equal opportunity employer and prohibits discrimination and harassment of any kind. We welcome and strongly encourage applicants of diverse backgrounds.

Application Materials

All materials should be completed online at [ForumOhio.com/jobs](https://forumohio.com/jobs) or emailed to our Director of Operations, Bethany Yoder, at yoder@forumohio.com. **The application deadline is January 14, 2022.**

1. Application.
2. Unofficial transcript.
3. Letter of interest/cover letter addressed to Drs. Stinson and Tilley.
 - o Brief synopsis of your professional training and experience.
 - o Why pursuing postdoctoral training in forensic psychology.
 - o Fit with Forum Ohio.
 - o Professional interests and career objectives (short and long-term).
4. CV.

5. Three letters of recommendation.
 - o Chair of department or advisor must confirm that all requirements of the doctoral degree will be completed by the fellowship start date.
 - o At least one from graduate program.
 - o At least one from pre-doctoral internship program.
6. *NOTE:* Writing samples are not required for the application. Applicants who are invited for interviews will be asked for writing samples at that time.
 - o Psych evaluation or other type of assessment report (forensic reports preferred).
 - o Make sure all reports submitted are de-identified.

Selection and Acceptance Process

Applicants who are considered to be good candidates for the Fellowship will be invited to an interview. We prefer to host interviews during the morning or afternoon on two different days (an applicant would only need to attend one of the two days): **Friday, February 11, 2022** and **Monday, February 14, 2022**.

Shortly after all interviews have been completed, selected candidates will be offered (via email or phone call) a position. The Fellowship adheres to the Uniform Acceptance Date (UAD) for postdoctoral fellowships in forensic psychology, which is distinct from the Uniform Notification Date established by APPIC. **The UAD for the upcoming year is Tuesday, February 22, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. EST.** Although we may make an offer prior to the UAD, we will not require applicants to accept an offer prior to this date. Candidates are strongly encouraged not to hold more than one offer at a time. Forum Ohio will notify all other candidates once positions have been filled.

Important Dates

- Friday, January 14, 2022 – Application Due Date
- Friday, February 11, 2022 and Monday, February 14, 2022 – Interview Days
- Tuesday, February 22, 2022 (10 a.m. EST) – Uniform Acceptance Date
- Monday, August 29, 2022 – Deadline for submitting requisite fellowship paperwork
- Thursday, September 1, 2022 – Fellowship start date

PRACTICE AND LOCATION

Forum Ohio, LLC

Forum Ohio is a limited liability company that provides evidenced-based psychological / behavioral health assessments, treatment, and consultative services to individuals and governmental and non-governmental agencies through fee-for-service contractual arrangements, workforce service agreements, and participation in preferred provider third-party panels. Forum Ohio is jointly owned by Dr. Bob Stinson and Dr. John Tilley, both of whom are board certified forensic psychologists. Related companies include Stinson & Associates, Inc. / Bob Stinson, Psy.D., Inc. (owned by Dr. Stinson) and Behavioral Science Specialists, LLC (owned by Dr. Tilley). Those related companies have been in existence for over 30 years, collectively. Forum Ohio's main office is located at 454 East Main Street, Suite 240, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. Centrally located in the Discovery District of Downtown Columbus, the practice has ample parking available and is easily accessible by public transportation. The Forum Ohio office space complies with access requirements under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and currently is configured to provide a spacious waiting room as well as public bathrooms which are spacious and ADA compliant. Forum Ohio offices are open weekdays during normal business hours (8 a.m. to 5 p.m.), with extended evening hours and weekend hours as necessary.



City of Columbus

Forum Ohio is located in Columbus, Ohio. Columbus is the capital of Ohio, it is the 14th largest city in the United States, and it is one of the fastest growing large cities in the country. The city proper has an approximate population of 900,000, and the Columbus metropolitan area is home to over two million people. Renowned for its diversity, education, quality of life, and economic opportunities, Columbus offers large city amenities without sacrificing hospitality or its down-to-earth sensibility. The area is home to approximately 50 colleges and universities as well as several Fortune 500 companies. Columbus offers a multitude of attractions: families can visit the world-famous Columbus Zoo, the Center of Science and Industry (COSI), and nearly 20 parks comprising the metropolitan park system; culture fanatics can indulge in the many districts and

culturally-distinct areas of the city, including the Short North District, Italian Village, Victorian Village, German Village, Old South End, Olde Towne East, and Franklinton; sports fans can enjoy the Columbus Blue Jackets (professional hockey), the Columbus Crew (professional soccer), the Columbus Clippers (professional baseball), and The Ohio State University teams (collegiate sports); and foodies can experience a nearly endless supply of diverse, award-winning cuisine amongst some 5,000 restaurants that the city offers. Columbus has been consistently recognized by various authorities in the past decade as one of the best places to live and work in the United States.



LICENSED PSYCHOLOGISTS AT FORUM OHIO, LLC

The following psychologists are available to provide supervision and consultation services as part of the Fellowship program. Additionally, we have multiple psychology aides (i.e., bachelor's degree in psychology) and psychology assistants (i.e., master's degree in psychology) who provide assistance with conducting psychosocial interviews, psychological test administration and scoring, and acquiring relevant records. Additional details on our staff can be viewed at ForumOhio.com.



Bob Stinson, Psy.D., J.D., LICDC-CS, ABPP (Forensic)
Fellowship Co-Director

Graduate Training: *Wright State University School of Professional Psychology, Capital University Law School*
Internship: *Wright State University School of Professional Psychology*

Postdoctoral Fellowship: *Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare-Columbus Campus*

Forensic Specializations: criminal and juvenile competencies (e.g., to stand trial, to waive Miranda), criminal responsibility / NGRI, risk assessments (e.g., violence, sex offender), sentencing (e.g., capital mitigation, post-conviction, clemency).



John L. Tilley, Psy.D., MSCP, ABPP (Forensic)
Fellowship Co-Director

Graduate Training: *University of Indianapolis, Alliant International University / California School of Professional Psychology*

Internship: *Federal Medical Center - Lexington (Bureau of Prisons)*

Postdoctoral Fellowship: *Twin Valley Behavioral Healthcare-Columbus Campus*

Forensic Specializations: Competence to stand trial, mental condition at the time of the alleged offense, guardianship, violence risk assessment and risk of recidivism, psychopharmacology.



Valerie Budervic, Psy.D.

Graduate Training: *Wright State University School of Professional Psychology*

Internship: *Tallahassee Federal Correctional Institution/Federal Detention Center*

Postdoctoral Training: *Forum Ohio, LLC*

Forensic Specializations: Social Security disability, sex offender risk assessment, violence risk assessments, juvenile adjudicative competency, worker's compensation evaluations, gestational carrier evaluations, N648 immigration evaluations, fitness for duty evaluations, substance abuse evaluations, psychological evaluations through Children Services, jails, and juvenile detention centers.



Amanda Conn, Psy.D.

Graduate Training: *Wright State University School of Professional Psychology*

Internship: *Summit Psychological Associates, Inc.*

Postdoctoral Training: *Forum Ohio, LLC*

Forensic Specializations: Adult adjudicative competency and criminal responsibility, juvenile adjudicative competency and competency attainment, sex offender risk assessments, comprehensive psychological evaluations for Children Services.



Jeffrey D. Madden, PhD, ABPP, ABN

Graduate Training: *The Ohio State University*

Internship: *Rush-Presbyterian-St. Luke's Medical Center*

Postdoctoral Training: *Rehabilitation Neuropsychological Services, St. Francis Hospital*

Forensic Specializations: Forensic neuropsychological evaluations



A.J. McConnell, Psy.D.

Graduate Training: *Union Institute and University*

Internship: *Venture Psychological Internship Consortium*

Postdoctoral Training: *Daily Behavioral Health and Matrix Psychological Services*

Forensic Specializations: Neurodevelopmental disabilities, violence and sexual risk assessment, threat assessment, adjudicative competence, criminal responsibility.




Susan Rowland, Ph.D., RN

Graduate Training: *Xavier University, Union Institute and University*

Internship: *Integrated Behavioral Services*

Postdoctoral Fellowship: *The Wellness Center*

Forensic Specializations: *Social Security disability evaluations, health psychology, telebehavioral health.*



Jenna Wade, Psy.D.

Graduate Training: *Chicago School of Professional of Psychology, Adler University*

Internship: *Rockdale Regional Juvenile Justice Center*

Postdoctoral Training: *Forum Ohio, LLC*

Forensic Specializations: Competency to stand trial, NGRI, juvenile amenability / bindover, sentencing / mitigation, risk assessments, threat assessments, FFD, children services.



Stephen Yerian, Psy.D.

Graduate Training: *Wright State University, School of Professional Psychology*

Internship: *Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Psychology Service*

Postdoctoral Training: *Wright State University, School of Professional Psychology, Postdoctoral Training Program*

Forensic Specializations: Social Security disability evaluations.

FORENSIC DIDACTIC AND LANDMARK CASE SEMINAR SCHEDULE

DATE	TOPIC	DIDACTIC READINGS	MENTAL HEALTH CASE LAW
WEEK 1	FELLOWSHIP ORIENTATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FELLOWSHIP HANDBOOK 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NONE
WEEK 2	Hx FOUNDATION FOR FORENSIC PSYCH, INTRO TO THE U.S. LEGAL SYSTEM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> GRISSE (2019) CHPT 1 (EVOLUTION OF PSYCH AND LAW) MELTON CHPT 2 (OVERVIEW OF COURT SYSTEM) FEDERAL RULES OF EVIDENCE 401-403, 501-502, 701-706 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NONE
WEEK 3	OVERVIEW OF OH COURT AND MH SYSTEM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> OHIO FORENSIC MANUAL (2012) OHIO REVISED CODE 2945.37-2945.402, 5122.01, 5123.01 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NONE
WEEK 4	CRITICAL PRINCIPLES OF ETHICAL FORENSIC PRACTICE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> APA ETHICAL PRINCIPLES (2017) SPECIALITY GUIDELINES (2013) MELTON CHPT 4 (ETHICAL CONTOURS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NONE
WEEK 5	ADMISSIBILITY OF EXPERT TESTIMONY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> MELTON CHPT 18 (COMMUNICATION) FAUST ET AL. (2010) ADMISSIBILITY OF BEHAVIORAL SCIENCE EVIDENCE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> FRYE V. U.S. (1923) DAUBERT V. MERRELL DOW (1993) GE V. JOINER (1997) KUMHO TIRE V. CARMICHAEL (1999)

WEEK 6	PRIVILEGE, CONFIDENTIALITY, & PROFESSIONAL DUTIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PACKER & GRISSO CHPT 7 (ETHICS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • TARASOFF V. REGENTS (1976) • LIPARI V. SEARS (1980) • JAFFE V. REDMOND (1996) - ORC 2305.51
WEEK 7	SCOPE OF EXPERT TESTIMONY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: ERICKSON & EWING (LEGAL CONTOURS OF EXPERT TESTIMONY) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • JENKINS V. U.S. (1962) • BUDWIN V. APA (1994) • DEATHERAGE V. BOARD (1997)
WEEK 8	FORENSIC REPORT WRITING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: DEMIER (FORENSIC REPORT WRITING) • GRISSO (2010) GUIDANCE FOR IMPROVING FORENSIC REPORTS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BRUCE V. BYRNE (1989) • MURPHY V. MATTHEWS (1992)
WEEK 9	DATA COLLECTION & TESTING IN FORENSIC PRACTICE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 3 (NATURE & METHOD OF FORENSIC ASSESSMENT) • HEILBRUN (1992). THE ROLE OF PSYCHOLOGICAL TESTING IN FORENSIC ASSESSMENT. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • GRIGGS V. DUKE (1971) • HALL V. FLORIDA (2014)
WEEK 10	JUDGMENT & BIASES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MURRIE (2009). RATER (DIS)AGREEMENT ON RISK ASSESSMENT MEASURES IN SEXUALLY VIOLENT PREDATOR PROCEEDINGS. • NEAL & GRISSO (2014). THE COGNITIVE UNDERPINNINGS OF BIAS IN FORENSIC MENTAL HEALTH EVALUATIONS. • BERRYESSA & WOHLSTETTER (2019). THE PSYCHOPATHIC “LABEL” AND EFFECTS ON PUNISHMENT OUTCOMES: A META-ANALYSIS. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE

WEEK 11	RESPONSE STYLE & MALINGERING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: ROGERS & BENDER (2013) EVALUATION OF MALINGERING AND RESPONSE STYLES. • RESNIK & KNOLL (2018). MALINGERED PSYCHOSIS. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • U.S. v. GREER (1998)
WEEK 12	MULTICULTURAL COMPETENCE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SHEPHERD & LEWIS-FERNANDEZ (2016). FORENSIC RISK ASSESSMENT AND CULTURAL DIVERSITY. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 13	COMPETENCE TO STAND TRIAL: FOUNDATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 6 (CST) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DUSKY v. U.S. (1960) • PATE v. ROBINSON (1968) • JACKSON v. INDIANA (1972) • DROPE v. MISSOURI (1975) • COOPER v. OKLAHOMA (1996)
WEEK 14	COMPETENCE TO STAND TRIAL: APPLICATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ZAPF & ROESCH CHPTS 4, 5, 6 (PREPARATION, DATA COLLECTION, INTERPRETATION) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WILSON v. U.S. (1968) • ESTELLE v. SMITH (1981) • U.S. v. DUHON (2000) • SELL v. U.S. (2003)
WEEK 15	OTHER CRIMINAL COMPETENCIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 7 (OTHER COMPETENCIES) • GRISIO (2003) CHPT 1 (ADVANCES IN ASSESSMENT). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MIRANDA v. ARIZONA (1966) • NORTH CAROLINA v. ALFORD (1970) • FARETTA v. CALIFORNIA (1975) • COLORADO v. CONNELLY (1986) • GODINEZ v. MORAN (1993)

WEEK 16	EPPP AND LICENSURE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 17	OFF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 18	OFF	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 19	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 20	FORENSIC ASSESSMENT SUPERVISION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HODGES ET AL. (2019). FORENSIC ASSESSMENT SUPERVISION: THEORY AND PRACTICES. • FALENDER & SHAFRANSKE (2007). COMPETENCE IN COMPETENCY-BASED SUPERVISION PRACTICE. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 21	MOCK TRIAL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 22	CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY: FOUNDATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 8 (MSO) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • M'NAGHTEN'S CASE (1843) • DURHAM V. U.S. (1954) • JONES V. U.S. (1983) • KAHLER V. KANSAS (18-6135)

WEEK 23	CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY: APPLICATION & ADVANCED ISSUES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: GOLDSTEIN (EVAL OF CR) • PACKER (2009) CHPTS 5 & 6 (DATA COLLECTION & INTERPRETATION) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • IBN-TAMAS V. U.S. (1979) • AKE V. OKLAHOMA (1985) • FOUCHA V. LOUISIANA (1992) • MONTANA V. EGELHOF (1996) • CLARK V. ARIZONA (2006) • ORC 2901.01 • ORC 2901.21
WEEK 24	SENTENCING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 9 (SENTENCING) • GRISIO (2003) CHPT 3 (EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENTS). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • FURMAN V. GEORGIA (1972) • GREGG V. GEORGIA (1976) • WOODSON V. NORTH CAROLINA (1976) • FORD V. WAINWRIGHT (1986)
WEEK 25	DEATH PENALTY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: CUNNINGHAM & GOLDSTEIN (SENTENCING IN DEATH PENALTY CASES) • CUNNINGHAM, M., REIDY, T., & SORENSEN, J. R. (2016). WASTED RESOURCES AND GRATUITOUS SUFFERING: THE FAILURE OF A SECURITY RATIONALE FOR DEATH ROW. PSYCHOLOGY, PUBLIC POLICY, AND LAW, 22, 185-199. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ATKINS V. VIRGINIA (2002) • PANETTI V. QUARTERMAN (2007) • ROPER V. SIMMONS (2005) • MOORE V. TEXAS (2017) • MADISON V. ALABAMA (2019)
WEEK 26	VIOLENCE RISK ASSESSMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: MONAHAN (VIOLENCE RISK ASSESSMENT) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BAREFOOT V. ESTELLE (1983) • JABLONSKI V. U.S. (1983)

WEEK 27	ADVANCED VIOLENCE RISK ASSESSMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DOUGLAS & SKEEM (2005). VRA: GETTING SPECIFIC ABOUT BEING DYNAMIC. • KRONER ET AL. (2005). A COFFEE CAN... • HCR-20: V3 MANUAL 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 28	SEX OFFENDER ASSESSMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: CONROY & WITT (2013). EVALUATION AND MANAGEMENT OF SEX OFFENDERS. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KANSAS V. HENDRICKS (1997) • KANSAS V. CRANE (2002) • U.S. v. TOM (8TH CIR. 2009) • U.S. v. COMSTOCK (2010)
WEEK 29	THREAT ASSESSMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELOY, J. R., HART, S. D., & HOFFMAN, J. (2014). THREAT ASSESSMENT AND THREAT MANAGEMENT. CHPT 1. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SCHENCK V. U.S. (1919) • BRANDENBURG V. OHIO (1969) • TINKER V. DES MOINES (1969) • WATTS V. U.S. (1969) • NEW JERSEY V. T.L.O. (1985) • BETHEL SCHOOL V. FRASER (1986) • HAZELWOOD SCHOOL DIS. V. KUHLMEIER (1988)
WEEK 30	CIVIL COMMITMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 10 (CIVIL COMMITMENT) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WYATT V. STICKNEY (1972) • O'CONNOR V. DONALDSON (1975) • ADDINGTON V. TEXAS (1979) • YOUNGBERG V. ROMEO (1982)

WEEK 31	CIVIL COMPETENCIES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 11 (CIVIL COMPETENCIES) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ESTELLE V. GAMBLE (1976) • PARHAM V. JR (1979) • RENNIE V. KLEIN (1983) • WASHINGTON V. HARPER (1990)
WEEK 32	PERSONAL INJURY & CIVIL DAMAGES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: FOOTE & LAREAU (PSYCH EVAL OF EMOTIONAL DAMAGES IN TORT CASES) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CHRISTY BROS. CIRCUS (1928) • PALSGRAF V. LONG ISLAND (1928) • CARTER V. GENERAL MOTORS (1960) • DILLON V. LEGG (1968) • MOLIEN V. KAISER (1980) • GOUGH V. NATURAL GAS (1993)
WEEK 33	WORKER'S COMPENSATION & DISABILITY EVALUATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 12 (COMPENSATING MENTAL INJURY) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RYANS V. LOWELL (1984) • ERVIN V. AMERICAN (1988) • MASS MUTUAL V. OUELLETTE (1992) • DAMASCUS V. PROVIDENT (1996) • BLACK & DECKER V. NORD (2003) • BIESTEK V. BERRYHILL (2019)
WEEK 34	DISCRIMINATION (ADA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 13 (FEDERAL ANTIDISCRIMINATION & ENTITLEMENT LAWS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MERITOR V. VINSON (1986) • BURLINGTON V. ELLERTH (1998) • ELLISON V. BRADY (1991)

WEEK 35	EDUCATIONAL ACCESS (IDEA)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 17 (EDUCATION & HABILITATION) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HARRIS V. FORKLIFT (1993) • OLMSTEAD V. L.C. (1999) • ONCALE V. SUNDOWNER (1998) • RICCI V. DEStEFANO (2009)
WEEK 36	CHILD CUSTODY & PARENTING	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 16 (CHILD CUSTODY IN DIVORCE) • APA GUIDELINES FOR CHILD CUSTODY EVALS • APA GUIDELINES FOR PRACTICE OF PARENTING COORDINATION 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • PAINTER V. BANNISTER (1966) • LOVING V. VIRGINIA (1967) • SANTOSKY V. KRAMER (1982) • TROXEL V. GRANVILLE (2000)
WEEK 37	CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 15 (CHILD ABUSE & NEGLECT) • APA GUIDELINES FOR CHILD PROTECTION EVALS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KENTUCKY V. STINCER (1987) • DESHANEY V. WINNEBAGO (1989)
WEEK 38	JUVENILE JUSTICE: FOUNDATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 14 (JUVENILE DELINQUENCY) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • KENT V. U.S. (1966) • IN RE GAULT (1967) • FARE V. MICHAEL C. (1979) • SCHALL V. MARTIN (1984)

WEEK 39	JUVENILE JUSTICE: ADVANCED ISSUES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: GRISSE & ROMAINE (FORENSIC EVALS IN DELINQUENCY CASES) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BREED V. JONES (1975) • GRAHAM V. FLORIDA (2010) • MILLER V. ALABAMA (2012) • MONTGOMERY V. LOUISIANA (2016) • MATHENA V. MALVO (18-217)
WEEK 40	POLICE PSYCHOLOGY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: COREY & BORUM (FORENSIC ASSESSMENT IN HIGH-RISK OCCUPATIONS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 41	JURY SELECTION / TRIAL CONSULTATION	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: KOVERA (VOIR DIRE AND JURY SELECTION) • OTTO: DROGIN & BARRETT (TRIAL CONSULTATION) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 42	EYEWITNESS TESTIMONY & HEARSAY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • OTTO: WELLS & LOFTUS (EYEWITNESS MEMORY FOR PEOPLE & EVENTS) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NEIL V. BIGGERS (1972) • WHITE V. ILLINOIS (1992) • CRAWFORD V. WASHINGTON (2004) • STATE V. HENDERSON (2011) • PERRY V. NEW HAMPSHIRE (2012)
WEEK 43	MOCK TRIAL	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 44	HYPNOSIS & MEMORY RECOVERY	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STATE V. HURD (1980) • PEOPLE V. SHIRLEY (1982) • ROCK V. ARKANSAS (1992)

WEEK 45	TREATMENT IN CORRECTIONAL CONTEXTS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • DVOSKIN ET AL. (2007). CORRECTIONAL PSYCHOLOGY. IN GOLDSTEIN: FORENSIC PSYCHOLOGY. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BAXSTROM V. HEROLD (1966) • VITEK V. JONES (1980) • FARMER V. BRENNAN (1994)
WEEK 46	FORENSIC NEUROPSYCHOLOGY: FOUNDATIONS AND ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BUSH, S. S., & MORGAN, J. E. (2012). ETHICAL PRACTICE IN FORENSIC NEUROPSYCHOLOGY. • LARRABEE, G. L. (2012). ASSESSMENT OF MALINGERING. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 47	TELEFORENSIC ASSESSMENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • APA GUIDELINES FOR THE PRACTICE OF TELEPSYCHOLOGY (2013) • OPA TELEPSYCHOLOGY GUIDELINES (2010) • STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY - TELEPSYCHOLOGY RULES 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE
WEEK 48	PRIVATE SECTOR FORENSIC WORK	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MELTON CHPT 5 (MANAGING PUBLIC & PRIVATE FORENSIC SERVICES) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NONE